

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SARAH CREBASSA,

Plaintiff

v.

MICHAEL SOUZA ARIOTTA,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02272-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and  
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 9]

On July 20, 2018, Magistrate Judge Koppe issued a report and recommendation recommending I dismiss this case with prejudice because plaintiff Sarah Crebassa did not file an amended complaint as ordered and has not previously been able to plead a cause of action against defendant Michael Ariotta. ECF No. 9. Crebass did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Koppe’s report and recommendation (**ECF No. 9**) is accepted. This case is DISMISSED with prejudice.

DATED this 10th day of August, 2018.

  
ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE